

7-13-2005

Tris(Ethylenediamine)Cobalt(III) Nonaiododibismuthate

Andrea M. Goforth

University of South Carolina - Columbia

Rachael E. Hipp

University of South Carolina - Columbia

Mark D. Smith

University of South Carolina - Columbia, mdsmith3@mailbox.sc.edu

LeRoy Peterson Jr.

University of South Carolina - Columbia

Hans-Conrad zur Loye

University of South Carolina - Columbia, zurloye@mailbox.sc.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarcommons.sc.edu/chem_facpub



Part of the [Chemistry Commons](#)

Publication Info

Published in *Acta Crystallographica Section E*, Volume 61, Issue 8, 2005, pages m1531-m1533.

© [Acta Crystallographica Section E](#) 2005, International Union of Crystallography.

This Article is brought to you by the Chemistry and Biochemistry, Department of at Scholar Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of Scholar Commons. For more information, please contact dillarda@mailbox.sc.edu.

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Editors: **W. Clegg** and **D. G. Watson**

Tris(ethylenediamine)cobalt(III) nonaiododibismuthate

Andrea M. Goforth, Rachael E. Hipp, Mark D. Smith, LeRoy Peterson Jr and Hans-Conrad zur Loye

Copyright © International Union of Crystallography

Author(s) of this paper may load this reprint on their own web site provided that this cover page is retained. Republication of this article or its storage in electronic databases or the like is not permitted without prior permission in writing from the IUCr.

Tris(ethylenediamine)cobalt(III) nonaiodo-dibismuthate

Andrea M. Goforth,^a Rachael E. Hipp,^a Mark D. Smith,^a LeRoy Peterson Jr^b and Hans-Conrad zur Loye^{a*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina 29208, USA, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Francis Marion University, Florence, South Carolina 29501, USA

Correspondence e-mail: zurloye@sc.edu

Received 24 June 2005


Accepted 6 July 2005

Online 13 July 2005

The asymmetric unit of the title compound, $[\text{Co}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_8\text{N}_2)_3][\text{Bi}_2\text{I}_9]$, crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $Cmc2_1$. The asymmetric unit contains half of a $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ cation (en is ethylenediamine) and half of a $[\text{Bi}_2\text{I}_9]^{3-}$ anion. Both species are located on mirror planes, requiring the $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ cation to be present as a statistically disordered mixture of both enantiomeric forms. Crystals were grown solvothermally from an ethanol–water solvent mixture using *rac*- $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]\text{I}_3$ and bismuth triiodide as starting materials. The compound is a rare example of a mixed-metal halobismuthate material.

Comment

The chemistry of the bismuth(III) halides, or halobismuthate materials, has been extensively explored, due to the promising physical characteristics that such compounds often exhibit, including semiconductivity, luminescence and second-order non-linear optical activity (Eickmeier *et al.*, 1999; Goforth *et al.*, 2004; Mitzi & Brock, 2001; Mousdis *et al.*, 1998; Papavassiliou *et al.*, 1995; Zhu *et al.*, 2003). In general, these materials consist of a complex halobismuthate anion (connected BiX_n polyhedra) and an organic counter-cation. However, we have been interested in the synthesis of new halobismuthate materials which have a metal-containing cation in addition to the metal-containing anion. Such compounds may display new and interesting physical properties resulting from the synergistic interaction of more than one metal-containing species in the same compound. The title compound, $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3][\text{Bi}_2\text{I}_9]$, (I), represents one of the first halobismuthate materials having a transition metal coordination cation as the charge-balancing species.



Single crystals of (I) were isolated from the solvothermal reaction of *rac*- $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]\text{I}_3$ and BiI_3 and were studied by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The asymmetric unit of the compound contains half of an independent $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ cation and half of a $[\text{Bi}_2\text{I}_9]^{3-}$ anion, both of which are located on

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study

$T = 150\text{ K}$

Mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.030\text{ \AA}$

Disorder in main residue

R factor = 0.030

wR factor = 0.071

Data-to-parameter ratio = 31.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see <http://journals.iucr.org/e>.




Figure 1
Plots of the components of (I), showing the atom labelling. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Only the symmetry-independent part of the $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ cation is shown.




Figure 2
A view along $[110]$ of the crystal packing in (I). Bi polyhedra are shown in blue, I atoms as purple spheres, C atoms as yellow spheres, N atoms as small blue spheres and Co atoms as pink spheres. Both disorder components of the $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ cation are shown.

crystallographic mirror planes (Fig. 1). Both the Δ and Λ enantiomers of the cation are present in the structure, and they are statistically disordered throughout the crystal structure across the mirror plane passing through C3A/C4A and Co1. The atomic positions of Co and all C atoms (C1–C4) are common to both enantiomeric cations; only the N atoms distinguish the two enantiomers.

The $[\text{Bi}_2\text{I}_9]^{3-}$ anion is well known in bismuth iodide chemistry, and the majority of the bond distances and angles of the anion in (I) are comparable with those found in other compounds containing the same ion. In the present structure, however, the Bi2–I3 distance of 3.5462 (11) Å is significantly longer than the average bridging Bi–I distances (approximately 3.2 Å) observed in other $[\text{Bi}_2\text{I}_9]^{3-}$ -containing compounds. (Bowmaker *et al.*, 1997; Eickmeier *et al.*, 1999; Goforth *et al.*, 2004; Lazarini, 1987; Zhu *et al.*, 2003). For (I), the average terminal Bi–I distance is 2.987 Å, the average bridging Bi–I distance is 3.282 Å, and the *cis* angles range from 75.12 (2) to 98.75 (2)°. Likewise, the $[\text{Co}(\text{en})_3]^{3+}$ cation is well known (Wang *et al.*, 2004; Nakashima *et al.*, 2003), and the bond distances and angles of the cation in (I) are within the expected ranges (Table 1).

Fig. 2 displays the crystal packing of (I) viewed along $[110]$, where it is observed that columns of the cations alternate with columns of the anions in a chessboard fashion.

Experimental

BiI_3 (0.15 mmol, 88.5 mg) and *rac*- $[\text{Co}(\text{ethylenediamine})_3]\text{I}_3$ (0.05 mmol, 31 mg) were weighed and placed in a 23 ml Teflon-lined autoclave with absolute ethanol (5 ml) and distilled water (5 ml) as the reaction solvents. The autoclave was subsequently sealed and heated at a rate of 1 K min^{-1} to 433 K. The temperature was held at 433 K for 2 d before it was decreased, at a rate of 0.1 K min^{-1} , to 343 K, where it was then held for 6 h. Finally, the temperature was decreased at a rate of 0.1 K min^{-1} to room temperature. Red–orange crystals of (I) were isolated from the reaction and a suitable single crystal was selected for the X-ray diffraction experiment.

Crystal data

$[\text{Co}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_8\text{N}_2)_3][\text{Bi}_2\text{I}_9]$
 $M_r = 1799.30$
Orthorhombic, $Cmc2_1$
 $a = 12.5267$ (6) Å
 $b = 17.2768$ (8) Å
 $c = 13.8644$ (7) Å
 $V = 3000.6$ (3) Å³
 $Z = 4$
 $D_x = 3.983$ Mg m^{−3}

Mo- $K\alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 8193 reflections
 $\theta = 2.4\text{--}26.4^\circ$
 $\mu = 21.51$ mm^{−1}
 $T = 150$ (1) K
Plate, red–orange
0.14 × 0.08 × 0.04 mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-detector diffractometer
 ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2001)
 $T_{\min} = 0.206$, $T_{\max} = 0.423$
12584 measured reflections

3216 independent reflections
3129 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.040$
 $\theta_{\max} = 26.4^\circ$
 $h = -15 \rightarrow 15$
 $k = -18 \rightarrow 21$
 $l = -17 \rightarrow 17$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.071$
 $S = 1.04$
3216 reflections
102 parameters
H-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0365P)^2 + 28.8217P]$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} < 0.001$
 $\Delta\rho_{\max} = 1.76$ e Å^{−3}
 $\Delta\rho_{\min} = -1.36$ e Å^{−3}
Absolute structure: Flack (1983), with 1535 Friedel pairs
Flack parameter: -0.021 (5)

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Bi1–I1	2.9179 (8)	Bi2–I3	3.5462 (11)
Bi1–I3	2.9912 (11)	Co1–N4A	1.928 (17)
Bi1–I2	3.2398 (12)	Co1–N1A	1.940 (16)
Bi1–I4	3.2486 (8)	Co1–N2A	1.950 (18)
Bi2–I6	2.8815 (8)	Co1–N1B	1.961 (17)
Bi2–I5	2.9088 (10)	Co1–N3A	1.976 (17)
Bi2–I4	3.3435 (8)	Co1–N2B	2.026 (17)
I1–Bi1–I1 ⁱ	94.83 (4)	I6–Bi2–I6 ⁱ	96.73 (4)
I1–Bi1–I3	95.56 (2)	I6–Bi2–I5	95.04 (3)
I1–Bi1–I2	92.82 (2)	I6–Bi2–I4	89.46 (2)
I3–Bi1–I2	167.61 (3)	I6 ⁱ –Bi2–I4	171.94 (3)
I1–Bi1–I4	89.09 (2)	I5–Bi2–I4	89.53 (2)
I1 ⁱ –Bi1–I4	176.04 (2)	I4–Bi2–I4 ⁱ	83.93 (3)
I3–Bi1–I4	84.58 (2)	I6–Bi2–I3	98.75 (2)
I2–Bi1–I4	86.44 (2)	I5–Bi2–I3	159.16 (3)
I4–Bi1–I4 ⁱ	86.98 (3)	I4–Bi2–I3	75.12 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y, z$.

Due to the cation disorder, only the Co, Bi and I atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters; C and N atoms were refined isotropically. One distance restraint [$C1A-N1A = 1.50$ (1) Å] was necessary to maintain a reasonable distance between these atoms. H atoms for each disorder component were placed in geometrically idealized positions, with $C-H = 0.96-0.99$ Å and $N-H = 0.90$ Å, and included as riding atoms, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{iso}(C,N)$. The highest peak is located 0.84 Å from atom I6 and the deepest hole is located 0.87 Å from Bi2.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2001); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2001); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

Financial support for this work was provided by the National Science Foundation through grant Nos. CHE: 0314164 and CHE: 0315152.

References

- Bowmaker, G. A., Harrowfield, J. M., Junk, P. C., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (1997). *Aust. J. Chem.* **51**, 285–291.
- Bruker (2001). *SMART* (Version 5.625), *SAINT-Plus* (Version 6.22) and *SADABS* (Version 2.05). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Eickmeier, H., Jaschinski, B., Hepp, A., Nuß, J., Reuter, H. & Blachnik, R. (1999). *Z. Naturforsch. Teil B*, **54**, 305–313.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 876–881.
- Goforth, A. M., Smith, M. D., Peterson, L. Jr & zur Loye, H.-C. (2004). *Inorg. Chem.* **43**, 7024–7031.
- Lazarini, F. (1987). *Acta Cryst.* **C43**, 875–877.
- Mitzi, D. B. & Brock, P. (2001). *Inorg. Chem.* **40**, 2096–2104.
- Mousdis, G. A., Papavassiliou, G. C., Terzis, A. & Raptopoulou, C. P. (1998). *Z. Naturforsch. Teil B*, **53**, 927–931.
- Nakashima, T., Mishihiro, J., Ito, M., Kura, G., Ikuta, Y., Matsumoto, N., Nakajima, K. & Kojima, M. (2003). *Inorg. Chem.* **42**, 2323–2330.
- Papavassiliou, G. C., Koutselas, I. B., Terzis, A. & Raptopoulou, C. P. (1995). *Z. Naturforsch. Teil B*, **50**, 1566–1569.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2000). *SHELXTL*. Version 6.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Wang, Y., Yu, J., Pan, Q., Du, Y., Zou, Y. & Xu, R. (2004). *Inorg. Chem.* **43**, 559–565.
- Zhu, X.-H., Mercier, N., Frère, P., Blanchard, P., Roncali, J., Allain, M., Pasquier, C. & Riou, A. (2003). *Inorg. Chem.* **42**, 5330–5339.